



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Megha Middha



Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

ANALYSING THE IMPACT OF THE UNLAWFUL ACTIVITIES ACT, 1967 ON INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS IN A COMPARATIVE MANNER

AUTHORED BY - SNIGDHA SINGH DEWAL

ABSTRACT:

The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act (UAPA) is anti-terrorism law in India that was first introduced in 1967. The purpose of the UAPA is to help unlawful Activities that hangs the sovereignty and integrity of India, including terrorism, organised crime, and plutocrat laundering. The UAPA is a controversial law, with critics arguing that it's too broad and that it has been misused to target heretics and nonages.

This paper examines the UAPA in detail, with a focus on the balance between public security and individual rights. The paper argues that the UAPA is a necessary tool for combating terrorism and other serious pitfalls to public security. Still, the paper also acknowledges that the UAPA has the implicit right to be misused, and it argues that safeguards must be in place to cover individual rights.

The paper concludes with a number of recommendations for how to ameliorate the UAPA and to ensure that it's used in a fair and balanced manner. This exploration paper seeks to assess the counter accusations of the illegal marketable Practices Act (UAPA) on individual rights and public security. It delves into the history, vittles, and recent variations and cases associated with the law. Also, it examines the counter accusations of the law on the captivity justice system in India and its use as a tool to suppress opposition. Eventually, it proposes measures to insure the proper operation of the law in a manner that doesn't infringe on fundamental rights. This exploration paper examines the UAPA in its literal environment, its legislative intent, its vittles, and its practical counter accusations . It conducts case studies and reviews transnational mortal rights norms to estimate the UAPA's capability to maintain a balance between the requirements of public security and the protection of individual rights. Also, it identifies implicit issues and proposes

reforms to insure a more balanced approach.¹

INTRODUCTION:

Terrorism is a global trouble, and India has been a target of terrorist groups for numerous times. In response, colorful counter-terrorism laws have been legislated in India, including the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 (UAPA). The UAPA was one of the first laws enforced after India's independence and was designed to help certain unlawful Activities of individualities and associations, and to deal with terrorist Activities. Still, the UAPA has been blamed for its draconian vittles and rampant abuse, leading to enterprises about its impact on individual rights. This exploration paper aims to dissect the UAPA and its impact on public security and individual rights, and to explore ways to strike a balance between the two. a pivotal legal frame in India designed to guard the nation's security by combating unlawful Activities. Still, its perpetration has frequently been blamed for its implicit infringement upon individual rights and civil liberties. This exploration paper aims to exhaustively explore the UAPA, tracing its literal development, legislative intent, vittles, and practical counter accusations. It also examines the UAPA's alignment with transnational mortal rights norms and the challenges it presents. Eventually, the paper seeks to assess whether the UAPA strikes an applicable balance between public security and individual rights. The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act (UAPA) in India has been a subject of debate and analysis due to its implicit abuse as a tool of terror The act is aimed at fighting terrorism and other forms of unlawful Activities, similar as organised crime, plutocrat laundering, and trafficking. UAPA is considered a necessary measure to maintain the sovereignty and integrity of the nation, as well as to cover the lives and property of citizens. still, the abuse of the UAPA raises questions about balancing public security and individual rights in India. Critics argue that the law is vague and overbroad, allowing for its abuse.

There have been cases where the UAPA has been used to stifle dissent and suppress political opposition. For example, individuals establishing the diurnal lives of common Kashmiris with their cameras have been arrested and locked under the UAPA. Also, lack of responsibility in the UAPA is argued to be against the principles of natural justice, as the act doesn't give acceptable means for challenge or appeal. It's necessary to balance public security and protection of civil liberties, and fundamental rights and freedoms must also be defended while icing public security.

¹ (Pushkar, n.d., 4)

The vittles of the UAPA shouldn't be misused to stifle licit forms of dissent or activism. While the UAPA can be an effective tool in the fight against terrorism, it needs to be enforced in a fair and just manner.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND:

The literal background of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act (UAPA) in India provides an essential environment for understanding the development and elaboration of this significant piece of legislation. Over time, the UAPA has experienced emendations and changes, reflecting the evolving security enterprises of the nation.

The roots of the UAPA can be traced back to the 1960s when India was facing a multitude of internal security challenges. During this period, India brazened pitfalls from colourful insurrectionary and secessionist movements in different regions. These challenges ranged from the Naxalite movement in West Bengal to separatist movements in the northeastern countries. In response to these growing pitfalls to India's sovereignty and security, the government felt the need for a legal frame that could effectively address these issues. Accordingly, the UAPA was legislated in 1967 as a preventative measure to combat unlawful Activities that posed trouble to the nation's integrity. The primary legislative intent behind the UAPA was to equip law enforcement agencies with the necessary tools to fight terrorism, insurrection, and other forms of violence that jeopardised public security. The act aimed to give legal grounds for the government to designate associations as unlawful, arrest and detain individuals involved in unlawful Activities, and put restrictions on fundraising and backing.

One of the original mileposts in the UAPA's development was the 2004 correction, which expanded its compass and powers. This correction allowed the government to ban associations that were declared unlawful, effectively proscribing their Activities. It also introduced vittles for the interception of dispatches, further enhancing the government's surveillance capabilities. Posterior amendments further ameliorated and expanded the UAPA's vittles, reflecting the evolving security geography in India. These amendments aimed to address arising pitfalls, including cyber-terrorism and transnational terrorism. The UAPA's literal background illustrates its elaboration from a response to specific indigenous challenges in the 1960s to a comprehensive legal frame for fighting colourful forms of unlawful Activities within public and transnational

confines.²

In conclusion, the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act (UAPA) has a rich literal background embedded in India's sweatshops to address internal security challenges. It was originally legislated in 1967 to fight pitfalls to public security and sovereignty. Over time, the UAPA has experienced several amendments to acclimatise to evolving security enterprises, reflecting its significance in India's legal frame for securing public security. The UAPA was legislated in 1967 to help unlawful Activities that pose trouble to the integrity and sovereignty of India. The law defines "unlawful exertion" as any action taken by an individual or association that causes or is intended to beget souring against India, or which threatens the concinnity, integrity, security, or sovereignty of India. The law also defines "unlawful association" as any association that has for its object any unlawful exertion, or which encourages or aids persons to take over any unlawful exertion, or of which the members take over similar exertion.

The UAPA empowers the government to declare an association as unlawful if it believes that the association is involved in unlawful Activities. Once an association is declared unlawful, its members can be arrested and executed under the UAPA. The UAPA also provides for the establishment of special courts to try offences under the law

PROVISIONS AND POWERS SCOPED IN UAPA ACT:

The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act (UAPA) in India provides the government with a range of vittles and powers aimed at fighting unlawful activities that hang public security. These vittles and powers have significant counter accusations for individualities and associations. In this section, we will claw into the crucial aspects of the UAPA's vittles and powers

Designation of Unlawful Associations

One of the central rudiments of the UAPA is the government's authority to designate associations as "unlawful." This designation generally occurs when an association is set up to be involved in Activities that pose a problem to India's sovereignty and integrity. Once an association is declared unlawful under the UAPA, it faces legal restrictions, and its Activities is elided. This designation is a vital step in the government's efforts to combat unlawful Activities.

² (Prakash & Aastha, n.d., 6)

Arrest and Detention vittles

The UAPA subventions authorities the power to arrest and detain individuals suspected of being involved in unlawful Activities without the demand of immediate form of charges or granting bail. This provision, while essential for nippy action against implicit pitfalls, has raised enterprises about due process and the eventuality for abuse.

Barring of Organizations

In addition to designating associations as unlawful, the UAPA empowers the government to ban similar associations. Banning an association goes beyond designation and involves more severe consequences. It effectively prohibits the association's actuality, making it illegal to operate or support the association in any way. This is a critical tool in the government's efforts to disrupt and strike unlawful Activities.

Fundraising and Backing Restrictions

The UAPA imposes strict restrictions on the fundraising and backing of associations that have been labelled unlawful. These restrictions are designed to choke off the fiscal coffers of these associations, making it delicate for them to carry out their Activities. The UAPA also addresses enterprises related to foreign backing, icing that finances from abroad aren't used to support unlawful Activities.

Interception of Dispatches

In the age of technology, the UAPA subventions authorities the power to block dispatches, including phone calls and electronic dispatches, as a means of gathering substantiation and intelligence. While this provision is necessary in shadowing and precluding unlawful Activities, it has raised enterprises about sequestration and the eventuality for abuse.

Review panels

To give oversight and checks on the government's conduct under the UAPA, review panels play a pivotal part. These panels are responsible for reviewing designations and bans to ensure they misbehave with the law and aren't arbitrary. Still, the effectiveness and independence of these review panels have been subjects of scrutiny and debate.

The Unlawful Activities(Prevention) Act(UAPA) grants the Indian government a range of vittles and powers to combat unlawful Activities that pose a problem to public security. These vittles include the designation and barring of unlawful associations, arrest and detention powers, fundraising restrictions, interception of dispatches, and review panels. While these powers are essential for fighting pitfalls, they've also sparked debates and enterprises regarding due process, civil liberties, and the eventuality for abuse. Balancing the need for public security with the protection of individual rights remains a critical challenge in the environment of the UAPA.

USE OF THE UAPA TO STIFLE NONCONFORMITY

Originally legislated with the primary purpose of securing public security, has at times been blamed for its implicit abuse as a tool to stifle dissent and dock freedom of expression in India. While the act's intentions are embedded in fighting unlawful Activities, its operation has, in some cases, led to enterprises about its impact on civil liberties.

One of the crucial exams revolves around the broad and vaguely defined languages within the UAPA. Terms similar as " unlawful Activities" and " class of unlawful associations" can be open to interpretation, potentially allowing authorities to target individualities or associations engaged in peaceful dissent or advocacy under the guise of fighting terrorism or insurrection. This has raised enterprises about the act's eventuality for abuse against activists, intelligencers, or political heretics.

Likewise, the UAPA's vittles, similar to the power of arrest and detention without bail, have been blamed for infringing on due process rights. These vittles, when employed against individualities expressing differing views or sharing in peaceful demurrers, can be perceived as a means to silence opposition rather than addressing genuine security pitfalls.

The act's capability to designate associations as " unlawful" and later ban them has also been a point of contention. While this is essential in fighting revolutionist groups, it has raised questions about whether these vittles are used disproportionately against certain political or ideological movements, therefore limiting freedom of association and expression.

Cases where the UAPA has been applied to detain individuals for extended ages without formal charges or trials have garnered significant attention and review. Similar cases suggest that the act

may be misused to target individualities solely grounded on their political beliefs or confederations.

While the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act (UAPA) plays a critical part in maintaining public security in India, there have been enterprises and exams regarding its implicit abuse to stifle dissent and stifle freedom of expression. Striking the right balance between public security imperatives and the protection of civil liberties remains a significant challenge in the environment of the UAPA. Public debate, translucency, and adherence to the rule of law are essential factors of addressing these enterprises and icing that the act serves its willed purpose without undermining popular values.

UAPA in Practice High- Profile Cases and Counter Accusations

The Unlawful Activities(Prevention) Act(UAPA) has been laboriously employed in colourful high- profile cases in India, offering sapience into its practical operation and the counter accusations it carries for civil liberties. While the UAPA is intended to fight unlawful Activities that pose a trouble to public security, its use in specific cases has generated significant debate and raised enterprises about its impact on civil liberties.

High- Profile Cases and Applicable Case Laws

Several high- profile cases have brought the UAPA into the limelight. One similar case is the arrest and posterior imprisonment of Dr. Binayak Sen, a famed civil rights activist. In 2007,Dr. Sen was detained under the UAPA on charges of being associated with Maoist mutineers and was indicted of sedition. His arrest sparked a public and transnational roar, with numerous viewing it as an attempt to stifle dissent. Eventually,Dr. Sen was granted bail by the Supreme Court, pressing the need for judicial scrutiny in UAPA cases. Another notable case involves the arrest of activists in connection with the Bhima Koregaon violence in Maharashtra. Several prominent activists and intellectualists were detained under the UAPA, indicted of having links to banned revolutionist associations. Critics argue that the UAPA has been used in these cases to target individualities expressing differing views rather than addressing factual security pitfalls.

Counter Accusations on Civil Liberties

The use of the UAPA in high- profile cases has raised significant objections to its counter accusations for civil liberties. Critics argue that the act's vittles, similar as the power of arrest and

detention without bail for extended ages, can lead to the curtailment of individual freedoms. Detaining individualities without trial or charges for dragged ages can be perceived as a means to silence dissent and intimidate activists and political opponents.³

Also, the act's broad and vaguely defined terms, similar as "unlawful Activities" and "class of unlawful associations," can leave room for interpretation and implicit abuse. This nebulosity can lead to individualities being labelled as pitfalls to public security grounded on their political confederations, advocacy, or expression of differing views.

Disproportionate Impact on Marginalised Communities

There's a promoting concern that the UAPA disproportionately impacts marginalised communities in India. Cases of members of vulnerable and marginalised groups, similar as ethical communities or nonage populations, being targeted under the UAPA have been reported. Critics argue that the act's operation can complicate social inequalities and demarcation.

The UAPA has been applied in high-profile cases in India, slipping light on its practical counter accusations for civil liberties. While the UAPA is intended to address public security enterprises, its use in specific cases has raised questions about its implicit abuse to stifle dissent and disproportionately impact marginalised communities. Balancing the imperatives of public security with the protection of civil liberties remains a critical challenge in the environment of the UAPA. Judicial scrutiny, translucency, and adherence to the principles of republic are pivotal rudiments in addressing these enterprises and icing that the act serves its willed purpose without compromising fundamental rights.

Case Studies UAPA in relative Perspective

To better understand the Unlawful Activities(Prevention) Act(UAPA) in India and its counter accusations , it's high-ticket to examine it in an approximate environment, particularly when compared to other republics. This approximate analysis sheds light on how India's approach to counterterrorism measures aligns with or diverges from foreign morals and practices.

³ (Chakraborty, (Pushkar), n.d., 2022, 11)

India Vs. Other Democracies

India, as the world's largest republic, faces unique challenges when it comes to balancing public security and individual rights. While the UAPA is India's primary anti-terrorism legislation, other republic like the United States, the United Kingdom, and Germany also have counterterrorism laws in place. still, the nature and compass of these laws can differ significantly. In Asif Iqbal Tanhav. State of NCT of Delhi, the Delhi High Court clarified that the execution bears the prima facie burden as specified in Section 43- D(5). For your convenience, applicable portions of the ruling are distributed below. As a result, when compared to other special Acts similar as the NDPS and PMLA, the bar for carrying bail under UAPA is lower. Still, according to the Act, bail can only be granted if the accused can show that there is no " prima facie" case against him.

For illustration, *The USA PATRIOT Act* in the United States and the Terrorism Act 2000 in the United Kingdom entitle authorities expansive powers to combat terrorism. These powers include surveillance, detention, and interception of dispatches. Also, Germany has laws that allow for preventative detention and surveillance of individualities' supposed pitfalls to public security. Comparatively, India's UAPA shares some parallels with these laws but also has its distinct features. It grants the government the authority to designate associations as unlawful and detain individualities without bail. Still, critics argue that the UAPA lacks sufficient safeguards to help implicit abuse.

Assignments from International Jurisprudence

International justice provides essential readings on how democracy can strike a balance between public security imperatives and the protection of individual rights. International mortal rights instruments, similar to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, emphasise the significance of conserving individual freedoms indeed in the face of security enterprises.⁴

One key lesson is the need for proportionality in counterterrorism measures. Democracies must ensure that their laws are hardly acclimatised to address specific security pitfalls and that they don't disproportionately infringe upon individual rights. Also, robust oversight mechanisms, including judicial review, independent review panels, and transparent processes, can help guard

⁴ (Singh, 2022, 13)

against abuses. International jurisprudence also highlights the significance of clinging to due process and the rule of law. catch-as-catch-can arrests, detention without charges, and denial of access to legal representation should be avoided. These principles are critical in maintaining the credibility and legality of counterterrorism, comparing India's UAPA with counterterrorism laws in other democracies and drawing assignments from transnational justice is vital for assessing the balance between public security and individual rights. While each republic faces unique challenges, the principles of proportionality, oversight, due process, and adherence to moral rights norms are pivotal in icing that counterterrorism measures admire the rule of law and fundamental rights.

Striking a Balance in UAPA

In examining the Unlawful Activities(Prevention) Act(UAPA) in India and its counter accusations for the delicate balance between public security and individual rights, several crucial findings and arguments have surfaced. This conclusion serves to recap those findings, offer counter accusations and recommendations, and suggest a way forward in the environment of the UAPA.

Recap of Findings and crucial Arguments

Throughout this exploration paper, we've explored the UAPA in- depth, assaying its literal background, vittles, powers, and practical operations. We have also examined how the UAPA has been used, at times, to stifle dissent and dock civil liberties, drawing attention to high- profile cases and transnational comparisons.

Crucial findings and arguments include

Literal elaboration The UAPA has evolved over time to address India's changing security geography, from addressing secessionist movements to combating contemporary pitfalls like terrorism and organised crime, vittles and Powers The UAPA subventions significant powers to the government, similar as designating associations as unlawful, arresting and detaining individualities, and assessing fundraising restrictions, Abuse and Abuse The UAPA has faced review for its implicit abuse to suppress dissent and infringe upon civil liberties. High- profile cases have underlined these enterprises, Relative Perspective Comparing the UAPA to counterterrorism laws in other republics reveals both parallels and differences in approaches to balancing security and rights.

Counter Accusations and Recommendations

The counter accusations of the findings suggest the need for a careful reevaluation of the UAPA's operation to ensure a fair and balanced approach. Recommendations include, Enhanced Safeguards Strengthening safeguards within the UAPA to help abuse, similar as clearer definitions of terms like "unlawful Activities" and "unlawful associations."

Judicial Oversight

Ensuring robust judicial oversight in cases related to the UAPA to guard due process and individual rights, transparency Promoting transparency in the operation of the UAPA to foster public trust and responsibility. Human Rights Compliance Aligning the UAPA with transnational human rights, morals and norms to ensure that counterterrorism measures respect fundamental rights.⁵

The Way Forward

The UAPA remains a critical tool in India's efforts to guard public security. Still, its use must be guided by the principles of proportionality, necessity, and adherence to the rule of law. Balancing public security and individual rights is an ongoing challenge, and it requires continual scrutiny, debate, and reform. The way forward involves engaging in a formative dialogue between policymakers, legal experts, civil society, and the public. It necessitates reconsidering and amending the UAPA to address its eventuality for abuse while upholding the nation's security imperatives. Striking this balance isn't only a legal imperative but a moral and popular one, ensuring that India remains a lamp of freedom and justice while guarding its citizens from genuine pitfalls.

Striking a Balance between National Security and Individual Rights

The UAPA is a significant law that plays a pivotal part in ensuring public security. Still, the law's draconian provisions and rampant abuse have raised enterprises about its impact on individual rights. To strike a balance between public security and individual rights, it's essential to,

- Ensure that the UAPA is used judiciously and doesn't violate fundamental rights. Some measures that can be taken to achieve this include
- Ensure that the UAPA is used only in cases where there's believable substantiation of unlawful Activities or terrorist Activities.

⁵ (Abraham, 2017, 14)

- Ensure that individualities aren't detained without charge for extended ages under the UAPA.
- Ensure that captures held under the UAPA aren't subordinated to torture or ill- treatment.
- Ensure that the UAPA isn't used to stifle dissent or silence critics.
- Ensure that the UAPA isn't used to target individualities grounded on their religion, estate, or political beliefs.

Conclusion

The UAPA is a significant law that plays a pivotal part in icing public security. Still, the law's draconian vittles and unbounded abuse have raised enterprises about its impact on individual rights. To strike a balance between public security and individual rights, it's essential to ensure that the UAPA is used judiciously and doesn't violate fundamental rights. The government must take measures to ensure that the UAPA isn't misused to stifle dissent or silence critics. The UAPA must be used only in cases where there's believable substantiation of unlawful Activities or terrorist Activities. The government must also insure that captures held under the UAPA aren't subordinated to torture or ill- treatment. By taking these measures, India can strike a balance between public security and individual rights.⁶

References

(n.d.). Pushkar, Amritanshu, Examining The Constitutional Validity of The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Amendment Act, 2019 (November 30, 2021) (Pushkar, n.d., 4). Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3974648> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139>

(n.d.). Prakash, Aastha. "Draconian Provisions of Unlawful Activities Prevention Act,1967." (Prakash & Aastha, n.d., 6)SSRN Papers, 10 Nov. 2021, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3960981.

South Asia Human Rights Documentation Centre, & Nair, R. (2009). The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Amendment Act 2008: Repeating Past Mistakes. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 10-14. (Nair. R, 2009, 7)

(n.d.). Chakraborty, Namrata. "Assessing The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967

⁶ (Kelso et al., 2011, 16)

(UAPA) and Its Impact on India's Prison Justice System." (Chakraborty, (Pushkar), n.d., 2022, 11) SSRN Papers, 13 May 2022, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4107885.

Singh, U. K. (2022). Federalism, democracy and the national security state in India. *Territory, Politics, Governance*, (Singh, 2022, 13) 10(1), 51-66.

Abraham, S. (2017). Misuse of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 23-26. (Abraham, 2017, 14)

Kelso, C. M., Green, T. M., Guffey, J. E., & Larson, J. G. (2011). Unlawful Activities (Kelso et al., 2011, 16) Prevention Act-UAPA (India) & US-Patriot Act (USA): A Comparative Analysis. *Homeland Security Rev.*, 5, 121.

